

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,224	10/15/2003	Sharon Mi Lyn Tan	10177-151-999	9465
27774 7590 01/04/2007 MAYER & WILLIAMS PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			EXAMINER	
			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3763	
		,		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/688,224	TAN, SHARON MILYN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Catherine S. Williams	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become a	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the second s	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal ma	-			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 9-33</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,13 and 18-28</u> is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-11,17,29,30,32 and 33</u> is/are at 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,14 and 31</u> is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from conside	eration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/23/06 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

Claim 3 is allowable. The restriction requirement has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claim 4, is no longer withdrawn from consideration because the claim(s) requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. However, claim 13, is still withdrawn from consideration because the claim does not require all the limitations of an allowable claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 00/74743 ('743). The '743 reference teaches a catheter that includes a portion that is insertable into the body of a patient and accessible from outside the body once the portion is inserted. The portion has an outer wall, at least one active lumen within the outer wall and a false lumen (15) within the outer wall. The false lumen has a sealed distal portion and a proximal portion. See figure 11. A substrate including an iodine-based substance is capable of being inserted into the false lumen.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/74743 in view of Trerotola (USPubN 2005/0055012). '743 meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include two active lumens. However, Trerotola, among many other references, teaches the use of two lumens to provide a path for multiple infusions and/or withdrawal from the body.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to make the device of '743 with two lumens as taught by Trerotola. The motivation is provided by Trerotola in that multiple lumens provides the user with more flexibility during use and thereby enhances the use of the device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7,9-11,17,29-30 and 32-33 are allowed.

Claims 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,224 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. USPN 3,598,127 and USPubN 2004/0230162.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catherine S. Williams

Tatherin S. William

December 22, 2006

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER